

Numerical Analysis of High-Power X-Band Sources, at Low Magnetic Confinement, for Use in a Multisource Array

Philip MacInnes[®], Craig R. Donaldson[®], *Senior Member, IEEE*, Colin G. Whyte[®], Amy J. MacLachlan[®], Kevin Ronald, *Member, IEEE*, Alan D. R. Phelps[®], *Member, IEEE*, and Adrian W. Cross, *Member, IEEE*

Abstract—High-power microwave sources are typically relativistic in nature, employing multi-kilo-ampere electron beams that require significant magnetic confinement for efficient operation. As the desired output power increases, so does the complexity, and overall energy requirements, of the source. It can, therefore, be advantageous to consider the use of several, moderate-power, sources operating as a phased array; for an array of N sources, the far-field peak intensity scales as N^2 , and the peak-of-field may be steered electronically by varying the relative phases of the different output signals. In this article, we present the numerical analysis of a short-pulse (\sim 1 ns) X-band backward-wave oscillator, driven by a 210-keV, 1.4-kA electron beam, suitable for use as the radiative element in such an array. The investigation of the required magnetic confinement showed two peaks in performance, with the highest efficiency, of 43%, predicted at the low magnetic confinement peak at 0.3 T, corresponding to 125-MW peak output power. The magnitude and timing of the peak in the output pulse were functions of the rise time of the electron beam energy, with longer rise times resulting in delayed peak-of-field and lower peak output power. When operating in an array, to maintain effective output in the region of N^2 , it was determined that the beam rise times, across all sources, should be \leq 150 ps with the adjustment of the relative timing between output's being \pm 30 ps.

Index Terms—Backward-wave oscillators (BWOs), high power microwave generation, microwave oscillators, phased arrays, slow wave structures (SWSs).

I. INTRODUCTION

H IGH-POWER microwave (HPM > 100 MW) sources utilize high-current, relativistic, electron beams (e-beams) to generate the electromagnetic (EM) field. For a monolithic

Manuscript received October 25, 2021; revised November 14, 2021 and November 19, 2021; accepted November 22, 2021. Date of publication December 8, 2021; date of current version December 29, 2021. This work was supported by the European Office of Aerospace Research and Development (EOARD) of the United States Air Force Office for Scientific Research (AFOSR) under Grant Award FA8655-20-1-7011. The review of this article was arranged by Editor E. Choi. (*Corresponding author: Philip MacInnes.*)

The authors are with the ABP Group, Physics Department, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G4 0NG, U.K. (e-mail: philip.macinnes@strath.ac.uk).

Color versions of one or more figures in this article are available at https://doi.org/10.1109/TED.2021.3130503.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TED.2021.3130503

source, as the desired output power increases, so does the required electron energy, impacting on source size and complexity; manifesting in the geometry [1]–[7]; and/or a move to higher mode operation [8]–[10]. This may be taken in contrast with a distributed network of (relatively) moderate-power sources, where very-high output powers can be achieved through constructive interference of the radiated output pulses in the far-field. Operating on the same principles as the phased-array antenna, control of the temporal phase, of each source, provides both a peak radiated intensity of the order N^2 , for an array of N sources, and the ability to "steer" the peak(s) of field electronically.

The backward-wave oscillator (BWO) has proven suitable for use in such an array [11]–[17], where each individual BWO may be considered an independent radiative element. Efficient operation requires active control of the timing of the different outputs to ensure constructive, coherent, far-field interference, with peak operation obtained when the constituent BWOs are effectively phase-synchronous or phase-locked. This is distinct from phase-locking of individual sources [18], [19], which refers to reduction in "jitter" of source output though the added ability to do so is advantageous to the operation of an array; indeed, as discussed in [20], one of the methods employed to aid in phase-locking an individual source was found to greatly enhance the stability of operation in their Particle-in-Cell (PiC) simulations.

In this article, we discuss the numerical investigation of a mildly relativistic ($\sim 200 \text{ keV}$) BWO, designed to function at low magnetic confinement (~ 0.3 T) as part of a dualsource array. Primarily, the array size was governed by the capabilities of the intended modulator, an in-hand RADAN 303B [21]; however, the principle of operation applies equally to larger arrays or may be applied at higher power, as in [14] and [22]. The intended RADAN 303B incorporates peaking and chopping spark-gaps, providing a rising edge of ~ 100 ps and a maximum pulse duration of ~ 4 ns. This places it in a region that should negate the multimode effects observed in [20]. Furthermore, the source has been optimized for operation at a confining field of ~ 0.3 T, lower than used in [1], [2], [8], [14], [20], and [31], providing a route to the development of lower cost, more compact, sources of

0018-9383 © 2021 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission.

See https://www.ieee.org/publications/rights/index.html for more information.



Fig. 1. Schematic of the BWO noting key components in (r, z) cross section; - - line represents the central axis.

HPM radiation, which again enhances suitability for use in an array.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE BWO

The BWO is so named because it operates due to coupling of the forward-propagating slow-space-charge wave (SSCW), the e-beam, and the backward propagating EM-wave of slow wave structure's (SWS's) operating eigenmode. A schematic of a typical, azimuthally symmetric, source geometry is shown in (r, z) cross section in Fig. 1.

Both the accelerating diode and interaction region are fully field-immersed using an external solenoid. This confines a thin annular e-beam, of outer radius r_b , as it is propagated close to the surface of the SWS, which is typically formed by a regular corrugation on the surface of a cylindrical waveguide, in this case, described as

$$r = r_0 + r_1 \cos(h_z z) \tag{1}$$

where r_0 is the mean radius, r_1 is the corrugation amplitude, and $h_z = 2\pi/d_z$, where d_z is the corrugation period.

This induces periodic scattering of any propagating EM waves (discussed well in [23]), which results in the formation of eigenmodes localized within the region of the SWS. For coupling between scattered waves of the same mode, these may be described as

$$f_{w\pm} = \frac{c}{2\pi} \sqrt{(k_{z0} \pm \delta)^2 + k_c^2}$$
(2)

where *c* is the speed of light, $k_{z0} = \pi/d_z$, k_c is the cutoff wavenumber of the interacting waveguide mode, and $\delta = (\kappa^2 + k_z^2)^{1/2}$ is the detuning of the propagation constant k_z from k_{z0} , accounting for the strength of coupling κ between the constituent waves. For coupling between TM modes, κ may be defined as

$$\kappa = \frac{\pi r_1 k_{z0}}{2r_0}.$$
(3)

The BWO operates in the lower TM_{01} eigenmode (f_{w-}) described by (2), with the resonant frequency (f_{res}) determined as

$$(k_z v_z - \omega_p / \gamma)^2 \approx c^2 \left((k_{z0} - \delta)^2 + k_c^2 \right)$$
(4)

where the left-hand side of (4) represents the dispersion curve of the SSCW, v_z is the axial velocity of the electron, and ω_p



Fig. 2. Dispersion curves for SWS parameters, $r_0 = 17.5$ mm, $r_1 = 1.6$ mm, and $d_z = 13$ mm, and beam parameters, V = 210 kV, I = 1.4 kA, and $r_b = 15$ mm.

is the relativistic plasma frequency

$$f_p = \frac{\omega_p}{2\pi} = \sqrt{\frac{n_e e^2}{4\pi^2 \gamma m_e \epsilon_0}} \tag{5}$$

where n_e is the electron number density, e is the electron charge, γ is the Lorentz relativistic correction factor, taken as $\gamma = 1/((1 - (v_z/c)^2)^{1/2})$, or $\gamma = 1 + eV/m_ec^2$, m_e is the electron rest mass, ϵ_0 is the permittivity of free-space, and V is the applied potential.

The relation shown in (4) is approximate as it assumes an infinitely long e-beam propagating in an unbound medium. As noted in [24], the presence of the bounding drift-tube wall acts to reduce the effective plasma frequency, impacting the intersection of the dispersion curve of the SSCW with that of the eigenmode. This has been investigated, in various forms, in [25]–[27]; however, a useful approximation, as made in [28] for a thin annular e-beam, is to consider the current as being uniformly distributed over the cross section bounded by the e-beam envelope; the consequent reduction in the calculated plasma frequency, from $n_e = I/(e\pi r_h^2 v_z)$, in the authors' experience results in an intersection with the cold-dispersion of the eigenmode that is within a few percent of that predicted in PiC simulation and measured in the experiment. The curves shown in Fig. 2 arise from (2) and (4) under this approximation for parameter values in line with those used in this study.

The intersection of the SSCW and the eigenmode curves indicates that the BWO would be expected to oscillate at $f_{\rm res} \sim 9.7$ GHz; however, the radial boundaries of the beam, the proximity of the beam to the drift-tube wall, and the strength of coupling between the SSCW and the eigenmode all impact on the exact resonant frequency. This may be understood by consideration of the field-pattern of the TM₀₁ eigenmode; it will appear similar to that of the cylindrical waveguide TM_{01} mode, with a peak in the E_z field component near the axis and a peak in the E_r field component near the drift-tube wall. The key difference arises in the "bending" of said E_r field component to follow the contours of the corrugation, which produces a secondary E_z peak close to the surface of the corrugation, which can then modulate a precisely located e-beam, propagating within a thin annulus. The radial location of the field-maxima in this secondary E_{τ}



Fig. 3. Cross section of the modeled interaction region, including particle trajectories.

peak is frequency-dependent, meaning the proximity of the e-beam to the surface impacts the frequency of modulation, albeit over a narrow bandwidth.

The step reflector isolates the accelerating diode from the oscillations of the EM-field, redirecting the backward propagating wave toward the source output, meaning that its operational bandwidth must be at least as broad as the possible resonance bandwidth of the beam as described above. The step reflector employed here follows the work presented in [4] and [29], which offers broadband isolation, while also moving the peak-of-field away from the step transition of the drift-tube wall, reducing potential field enhancement (improving power handling).

Beyond impacting on the resonant frequency of the location, the thin annular nature of the e-beam introduces another consideration. The beam is naturally divergent, primarily driven by the Lorentz force, arising from the imbalance in the beam's self-fields. Without additional applied magnetic insulation, the annulus would rapidly expand radially and be lost to interception with the drift-tube wall. The addition of an externally applied magnetic field to mitigate this introduces the potential issue of cyclotron absorption, which occurs when the resonant frequency of the source (or its harmonics) are close to the cyclotron frequency (f_{cyc})

$$f_{\rm cyc} = \frac{eB}{2\pi \,\gamma \,m_e} \tag{6}$$

where B is the magnetic flux density, noting that the Brillouin flow, for the nonperturbed (initial) beam, is [30]

$$f_p/f_{\rm cyc} = \gamma / \sqrt{2}. \tag{7}$$

In practice, the propagation of the beam, under external magnetic confinement, usually results in two peaks in the efficiency (η), where $f_{res} > f_{cyc}$, and where $2f_{res} > f_{cyc} > f_{res}$. This may be seen, for example, in [31], which shows the standard result with the higher η peak being located when $2f_{res} > f_{cyc} > f_{res}$. Post the second, higher *B*-field peak, η , again starts to decline, then plateau, due to excessive constraint being placed on the electron motion.

III. NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION OF BWO

The BWO interaction region (see Fig. 3), excluding the electron accelerating diode, was modeled using the PiC solver in CST: Particle Studio [32]. It consisted of a cosinusoidal, $d_z = 13$ mm, SWS, with the number of regular periods varied over the range of 20–30, with tapering at either end varied over 0–2 periods. The mean radius was $r_0 = 17.5$ mm, and the corrugation amplitude was $r_1 = 1.6$ mm. The step reflector



Fig. 4. Injected beam current (solid) and number of macroparticles in simulation (dashed), as functions of time.

radius was 28 mm, and the axial length was 16 mm, with the separation distance (d_s) to the start of the SWS dependent on the degree of SWS tapering applied and the beam parameters used. The injected e-beam was varied in energy over the range 200–250 keV, with beam currents varied from 1 to 2.5 kA, and $r_b = 15-15.5$ mm (radial thickness at an injection of 1 mm). The e-beam rising-edge (t_r) was typically taken to match that of the in-hand RADAN 303B pulsed modulator at $t_r \sim 100$ -ps rise, with a maximum e-beam duration of 4 ns; this was adjustable in the simulation, separately from the runtime of the simulation as a whole.

A typical curve of the injected beam current is provided in Fig. 4, noting that the PiC model injects particles at full energy, but with current increasing to a predefined maximum. Also included is the corresponding temporal evolution of the number of macroparticles in simulation.

From the current curve, the 100-ps rise of the e-beam to full power may be discerned, with a corresponding fall at the termination of particle injection at 4 ns. The transit time of the beam through the SWS corresponds to the first transition seen in the number of particles in simulation at \sim 2 ns, in line with \sim 210-keV electrons.

Fig. 5 shows examples of the output power, and associated η , from a parameter study looking at different configurations of the interaction region and different e-beam parameters. This shows the expected increase in output power with increasing electron energy [see Fig. 5(a)]; however, it also identifies a region in the parameter space where η at relatively low electron energy and *B*-field (210 keV and 0.3 T, respectively) approach that seen at higher energy and *B*-field (250 keV and 1.5 T, respectively). Indeed, following further investigation and optimization of the interaction region, as shown in Fig. 6, it was observed that the low *B*-field, 0.3 T, peak predicted higher efficiency than seen at the high *B*-field, 1 T, peak.

The optimized interaction region consisted of a 26-period SWS, with no tapering, driven by a 210-keV, 1.4-kA, electron beam, rising in 100 ps, confined at $B_z = 0.3$ T. The peak output was ~125 MW, at ~9.7 GHz, corresponding to $\eta \sim 43\%$.

The prediction of peak efficiency at lower B-field is unusual, contrary to for example that seen in [31]. This may be



Fig. 5. (a) Output power and (b) associated η , for the BWO model as functions of the separation distance between the step reflector and the SWS (d_s), for different configurations of the interaction region. In all cases, $r_b = 15$ mm.



Fig. 6. Output power (solid) and associated η (dashed), as functions of the applied *B*-field confinement for the optimized BWO model.

understood in consideration of the previous discussion on the nature of the electron beam. If one begins by considering a nominally uniform density, annular, monoenergetic electron beam propagating close to the wall, the required external magnetic insulation to confine said beam is a function of both the electron energy and particle density. As the beam begins to undergo bunching via interaction with the EM-field, the local particle density begins to vary, as does the electron energy; for a given "bunch," the particle density has increased, and the mean energy has reduced. This alters the interaction of the modulated e-beam with the applied B-field, meaning that it impacts the overlap of the local e-beam dimensions on the E_z field profile. This influences the resonant frequency, at which the SSCW couples to the eigenmode, and the strength of said coupling. This can be seen in the peak value of the frequency content of the output pulse, as shown in Fig. 7, as a function of applied B_z over an extended range.

From this, it can be seen that the best overlap, between the bulk of the bunched electron beam cross section and the EM-field pattern for the TM_{01} eigenmode at ~9.7 GHz, occurs



Fig. 7. Output power (solid) and peak resonant frequency (*) of the optimized BWO model over an extended range in B_z values, for fixed e-beam parameters of 210 keV, 1.4 kA, and $r_b = 15$ mm.



Fig. 8. Time evolution of the output power envelope, as a function of confining *B*-field, for the optimized BWO.

at 0.3 T, with higher *B*-field peak corresponding to operation at ~9.6 GHz. Essentially, the spatial constraints on the extent of the bunched electron beam, at the higher *B*-field peak, appear such that the interaction with the EM-field pattern occurs most efficiently at a frequency slightly detuned from optimum, but, still, well within the operational "peak," one would associate with a source driven by a ~4-ns e-beam [see Fig. 9(b)]. As the magnetic confinement is increased, the constraints on the bunched beam follow, with the result that, for $B_z > 3.5$ T, the peak resonance settles at ~9.2 GHz, at ~80 MW ($\eta \sim 26\%$).

The impact of the magnitude of B_z , on the operation of the BWO, is also evident in the start time of oscillations within the source. An inference that may be made from Figs. 6 and 7 is that the cases showing the highest peak output powers correspond to instances where the oscillations started earliest, resulting in the most efficient modulation of the electron beam. This is borne out in Fig. 8, which shows the output power envelope of the BWO, as a function of time and applied B_z . From this, it can be seen that the peak output at low *B*-field is not only higher but also occurs earlier than the peak at high *B*-field (~7.36 and ~7.45 ns, respectively). The variation is more pronounced at the lower *B*-field, indicating that a degree of control is required here when operating across



Fig. 9. (a) Power envelope and (b) spectral content of the optimized BWO model.

multiple sources; however, it is not at a level that should prove problematic in the experiment.

While the aim of the presented work was focused on optimizing the BWO using the maximum 4-ns output from the RADAN 303B modulator, the impact of variation in e-beam duration, on the operation of the BWO, was also considered. This indicated that, while variation in the e-beam duration can affect both the temporal profile and peak output achieved, over the range of variation in e-beam duration expected in the experiment ($\sim \pm 200$ ps), there was no negative effect. Extending the duration of the e-beam to the full 10-ns runtime of the simulation, the low B-field peak remained at 0.3 T, achieving ~ 110 MW, with a slight shift in the temporal peak to ~ 7.4 ns. The high *B*-field peak shifted location to 1.5 T but remained less efficient, achieving ~ 100 MW at \sim 7.7 ns. Essentially, the overall operation of the source remained unaffected. A more detailed study is required to fully characterize these effects over a suitably large range of e-beam durations, but this lies out of the scope of this work. The output power envelope and spectral content, for the optimized BWO model operating with a 4-ns e-beam, are shown in Fig. 9(a) and (b), respectively.

IV. ANALYSIS OF THE BWO IN A DUAL-SOURCE ARRAY

Phase-locking multiple like-sources, in the idealized case, would involve adjustment of the relative phase between identical output signals until they overlapped exactly in the far-field, producing an effective peak output power of N^2P_1 , where P_1 is the peak output power from a single constituent source. In the experiment, it may be assumed that some variation in the output signals will occur due to differences in the individual assemblies and in the exact e-beam parameters. Taking the standard formula for calculating rms power in an ac signal $(P_{\rm rms} = V^2/2R)$, the effective peak output power then follows:

$$P_{\rm eff} = \frac{(A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_N)^2}{2Z_0}$$
(8)



Fig. 10. Examples of the predicted output ac waveforms, from the optimized BWO, with t_r varied over the range of 50–200 ps.

where A_N is the peak ac amplitude of the Nth source of the array and $Z_0 \approx 377 \Omega$ is the impedance of free-space; note that the port normalization employed in the PiC model effectively sets $Z_0 = 1 \Omega$ for the results presented.

For a dual-source array, driven by a single modulator, slight variations in the assemblies, between sources, will result in variations in the impulses applied to the individual diodes, most likely in terms of both their temporal phase and the impulse envelope. There will, of course, also be some slight variations in the assembly of the interaction regions though high-quality manufacture and careful assembly can minimize this. In addition, calibration of the electron accelerating diodes will be performed to ensure that, the current drawn, for a given voltage, is consistent. In the following discussion, to allow for consideration of variation in an experiment between sources, we will consider "like" operation to refer to both BWO's showing output of nominally 100 MW, with a potential $P_{\rm eff}$ N^2 value of 400 MW.

What remains is to evaluate the impact of variation in the e-beam rise time (t_r) on individual source operation and what that means for achieving phase-synchronization of the outputs across multiple sources. The effect of variation in t_r , over the range of 50–200 ps, on BWO operation can be seen in Fig. 10. Both the magnitude and temporal phase of the peak in the output pulse are functions of t_r ; this is quantified in Fig. 11, where the default value for t_r is taken as 100 ps (in line with the rising edge of the applied impulse), and Δt refers to the detuning from this value.

From this, it can be seen that HPM (≥ 100 MW) operation, from a single source, is predicted provided $t_r \leq 150$ ps. The peak output increases as t_r reduces though the increase is less significant for $t_r \leq 100$ ps.

For effective far-field summation of the radiated field patterns, this potential variation in t_r must be accounted for. To examine this, an analytic study was performed taking a fixed value of t_r for the first source ($t_{r1} = 100$ ps), while t_{r2} (the second source) was assumed to vary over the range of 50–200 ps. Adjustment of the temporal phase (t_{ad}) of the



Fig. 11. Predicted peak output power (solid) from the optimized BWO, along with temporal phase of output peak (dashed), with t_r varied over the range of 50–200 ps.



Fig. 12. Predicted P_{eff} for a dual-source array, with Source 2 t_r in the range of 50–200 ps. (a) $t_{\text{ad}} = \pm 300$ ps. (b) $t_{\text{ad}} = \pm 50$ ps. Source 1 t_r was constant at 100 ps.

second source's output was then considered over the range $t_{ad} \pm 300$ ps; this was taken as a proxy for temporal adjustment of the impulse signals driving the individual accelerating diodes. Using (8), the effective output power envelopes were then obtained, and their peak values (P_{eff}) were recorded. The resulting surface map of the dependence of P_{eff} on t_{r2} and on t_{ad} is shown in Fig. 12.

Fig. 12(a) shows the periodic oscillation in peak-power one would expect, as the superimposed waveforms move in to and out of phase over the oscillatory period ($\tau \sim 100$ ps at ~9.7 GHz) of the pulse. Furthermore, while the absolute "peak" in output power travels as a discrete point in the temporal phase, examination of Fig. 10 shows that there are multiple oscillations comprising the effective "peak" of the output pulse. It is, therefore, possible to obtain P_{eff} in the region of N^2 , at least where the peak-pulse duration is multiple integers of τ , without the need to fully account for the time delay between the absolute-peak outputs of the two sources. Indeed, from Fig. 12(b), it can be seen that $P_{\text{eff}} > 400$ MW may be maintained with timing adjustments on the order of ± 30 ps, provided $t_{r2} \le 150$ ps.

V. CONCLUSION

A numerical investigation of a relativistic BWO was undertaken, with the specific aim of obtaining HPM performance when driven by a short duration (4 ns) e-beam at relatively low energy and beam current. The best performance was achieved using a 210-keV, 1.4-kA, thin annular e-beam, with $t_r = 100$ ps and a 0.3-T confining axial *B*-field, propagated through a 26-period long SWS of 13-mm periodicity, with an amplitude of ± 1.6 mm. This predicted a peak output power of ~125 MW and an efficiency of $\eta \sim 43\%$. It was determined that $t_r \leq 150$ ps was required to maintain HPM operation, with the temporal phase of the output a relatively strong function of t_r .

An analytic study was performed to determine the impact of variation in t_r , across sources, in a dual-source array. Setting $t_{r1} = 100$ ps, it was found that effective output powers, in the idealized N^2 range, could be obtained by adjusting the relative timing of the output pulses over the range $t_{ad} \pm 30$ ps, provided $t_{r2} \le 150$ ps. This may be achieved in experiment via adjustment of the relative timing of the impulse signals, applied at the individual accelerating diodes; an attractive means of realizing this is presented in [33], which will be the subject of future work as the design of the BWO is progressed to experiment.

REFERENCES

- J. H. Yang, Y. Zhang, J. Zhang, T. Shu, and C. Li, "A new high power microwave source operated at low magnetic field," *Chin. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 96–98, Jan. 2003, doi: 10.1088/0256-307X/20/1/328.
- [2] Z. Liu, H. Huang, X. Jin, and L. Lei, "Design of an X-band gigawatt multibeam relativistic klystron amplifier," *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.*, vol. 42, no. 10, pp. 3419–3422, Oct. 2014, doi: 10.1109/TPS.2014.2354831.
- [3] D. M. Goebel, E. A. Adler, E. S. Ponti, J. R. Feicht, R. L. Eisenhart, and R. W. Lemek, "Efficiency enhancement in high power backwardwave oscillators," *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 800–809, Jun. 1999, doi: 10.1109/27.774686.
- [4] R. Z. Xiao et al., "Efficient generation of multi-gigawatt power by a klystron-like relativistic backward wave oscillator," *Laser Part. Beams*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 505–511, Sep. 2010, doi: 10.1017/S026303 4610000509.
- [5] R. Xiao, C. Chen, W. Tan, and Y. Teng, "Influences of the modulation cavity and extraction cavity on microwave generation and starting oscillation in a klystron-like relativistic backward wave oscillator," *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 611–616, Feb. 2014, doi: 10.1109/TED.2013.2295380.
- [6] G. Wang, J. Wang, P. Zeng, D. Wang, and S. Li, "Mode competition and selection in overmoded surface wave oscillator," *Phys. Plasmas*, vol. 23, no. 5, May 2016, Art. no. 053113, doi: 10.1063/1.4951021.
- [7] I. V. Konoplev *et al.*, "High-current electron beams for high-power free-electron masers based on two-dimensional periodic lattices," *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 751–763, Apr. 2010, doi: 10.1109/TPS.2009.2038161.
- [8] Y. Teng *et al.*, "A Ka-band TM₀₂ mode relativistic backward wave oscillator with cascaded resonators," *Phys. Plasmas*, vol. 21, no. 12, Dec. 2014, Art. no. 123108, doi: 10.1063/1.4902531.
- [9] S. B. Harriet, D. B. McDermott, and N. C. Luhmann, Jr., "Experimental second-harmonic axis-encircling beam gyro-TWT amplifier," presented at the 32nd Int. Conf. IRMMW, Cardiff, U.K., Sep. 2007, doi: 10.1109/ICIMW.2007.4516539.
- [10] S. H. Gold *et al.*, "High peak power Ka-band gyrotron oscillator experiment," *Phys. Fluids*, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 2226–2238, Jul. 1987, doi: 10.1063/1.866157.
- [11] M. R. Ulmaskulov *et al.*, "Four-channel generator of 8-GHz radiation based on gyromagnetic non-linear transmitting lines," *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, vol. 90, no. 6, Jun. 2019, Art. no. 064703, doi: 10.1063/1.5091075.

- [12] N. S. Ginzburg *et al.*, "Coherent summation of emission from relativistic Cherenkov sources as a way of production of extremely highintensity microwave pulses," *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 377–385, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.1109/TPS.2016.2517670.
- [13] N. S. Ginzburg *et al.*, "Generation of electromagnetic fields of extremely high intensity by coherent summation of Cherenkov superradiance pulses," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 115, no. 11, Sep. 2015, Art. no. 114802, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.114802.
- [14] V. V. Rostov, A. A. Elchaninov, I. V. Romanchenko, and M. I. Yalandin, "A coherent two-channel source of Cherenkov superradiance pulses," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 100, no. 22, May 2012, Art. no. 224102, doi: 10.1063/1.4723845.
- [15] K. A. Sharypov *et al.*, "Coherent summation of Ka-band microwave beams produced by sub-gigawatt superradiance backward wave oscillators," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 103, no. 13, Sep. 2013, Art. no. 134103, doi: 10.1063/1.4823512.
- [16] R. Xiao, Y. Deng, C. Chen, Y. Shi, and J. Sun, "Generation of powerful microwave pulses by channel power summation of two Xband phase-locked relativistic backward wave oscillators," *Phys. Plasmas*, vol. 25, no. 3, Mar. 2018, Art. no. 033109, doi: 10.1063/1. 5022808.
- [17] R. Xiao, Y. Deng, Y. Shi, and D. Yang, "Phase stabilization of a relativistic backward wave oscillator by controlling the cathode characteristics for a slowly rising voltage pulse," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 125, no. 17, May 2019, Art. no. 173302, doi: 10.1063/1.5093031.
- [18] Y. Teng *et al.*, "Phase locking of high power relativistic backward wave oscillator using priming effect," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 111, no. 4, Feb. 2012, Art. no. 043303, doi: 10.1063/1.3686620.
- [19] G. S. Nusinovich, O. V. Sinitsyn, J. Rodgers, A. G. Shkvarunets, and Y. Carmel, "Phase locking in backward-wave oscillators with strong end reflections," *Phys. Plasmas*, vol. 14, no. 5, May 2007, Art. no. 053109, doi: 10.1063/1.2734571.
- [20] R. Xiao, Y. Wang, Y. Deng, X. Bai, and Z. Song, "Particle-in-cell demonstration of the effect of voltage rise time on phase synchronization in two parallel relativistic backward-wave oscillators," *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 1317–1321, Mar. 2016, doi: 10.1109/TED.2016.2520500.
- [21] G. A. Mesyats, S. D. Korovin, V. V. Rostov, V. G. Shpak, and M. I. Yalandin, "The RADAN series of compact pulsed power generators and their applications," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 92, no. 7, pp. 1166–1179, Jul. 2004, doi: 10.1109/JPROC.2004.829005.

- [22] A. A. El'chaninov *et al.*, "Coherent summation of power of nanosecond relativistic microwave oscillators," *Tech. Phys.*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 121–126, Feb. 2011, doi: 10.1134/S1063784211010099.
- [23] G. G. Denisov and M. G. Reznikov, "Corrugated cylindrical resonators for short-wavelength relativistic microwave oscillators," *Radio-phys. Quantum Electron.*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 407–413, May 1982, doi: 10.1007/BF01035315.
- [24] J. Benford, J. A. Swegle, and E. Schamiloglu, *High Power Microwaves*, 3rd ed. New York, NY, USA: Taylor & Francis, 2016, pp. 133–135.
- [25] J. A. Swegle, J. W. Poukey, and G. T. Leifeste, "Backward wave oscillators with rippled wall resonators: Analytic theory and numerical simulation," *Phys. Fluids*, vol. 28, no. 9, pp. 2882–2894, Sep. 1985, doi: 10.1063/1.865209.
- [26] B. N. Brejzman and D. D. Ryutov, "Powerful relativistic electron beams in a plasma and in a vacuum (theory)," *Nucl. Fusion*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 873–907, Aug. 1974, doi: 10.1088/0029-5515/14/6/012.
- [27] S. Tamura, M. Yamakawa, Y. Takashima, and K. Ogura, "Instability driven by a finitely thick annular beam in a dielectric-loaded cylindrical waveguide," *Plasma Fusion Res.*, vol. 3, Aug. 2008, Art. no. S1020, doi: 10.1585/pfr.3.S1020.
- [28] D. L. Fensternmacher and C. E. Seyler, "Nonlinear space-charge wave propagation on thin annular electron beams," *Phys. Fluids*, vol. 27, no. 7, pp. 1808–1814, Jul. 1984, doi: 10.1063/1.864793.
- [29] A. I. Kilmov, I. K. Kurkan, S. D. Polevin, V. V. Rostov, and E. M. Tot'meninov, "A multigigawatt X-band relativistic backward wave oscillator with a modulating resonant reflector," *Tech. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 235–237, Mar. 2011, doi: 10.1134/S1063785008030176.
- [30] S. Humphries. Charged Particle Beams. Accessed: Oct. 19, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.fieldp.com/cpb.html
- [31] N. S. Ginzburg et al., "Generation of powerful subnanosecond microwave pulses by intense electron bunches moving in a periodic backward wave structure in the superradiative regime," *Phys. Rev. E, Stat. Phys. Plasmas Fluids Relat. Interdiscip. Top.*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 3297–3304, Sep. 1999, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.60.3297.
- [32] CST: Studio Suite. Accessed: Oct. 15, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.cst.com
- [33] M. R. Ulmaskulov, S. A. Shunailov, K. A. Sharypov, M. I. Yalandin, and V. G. Shpak, "Modification of high-voltage pulse waveform by the spiral and core-transformer ferrite-filled lines," *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.*, vol. 45, no. 10, pp. 2707–2714, Oct. 2017, doi: 10.1109/TPS.2017.2727639.